



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/853,870 05/11/2001		Murali Chaparala	ONX-117B	7261	
27652 7	590 01/02/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
JOSHUA D. ISENBERG			ROJAS, OMAR R		
204 CASTRO FREMONT, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AW
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/853,870	CHAPARALA, MU	JRALI
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit	
		Omar Rojas	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this P riod for Reply	communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS Consider of the Mailing DATE of THIS Consider SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above, the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for the Month of the Mon	OMMUNICATION of this communication than thirty (30) days, maximum statutory priod for reply will, by stree months after the incommunication.	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this co SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠ Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 3	30 September 2003.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	-	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in o	condition for all	owance except for formal matt der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the 0.11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pendin	g in the applica	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) _	is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 8-23</u> is/ard	e allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 24-26</u> is/a	re rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are object	cted to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				•
9) The specification is objected	-			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
		the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	` '	
		rrection is required if the drawing		, ,
11) The oath or declaration is ol		e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and				
3. Copies of the certified application from the I * See the attached detailed Off 13) Acknowledgment is made of since a specific reference was 37 CFR 1.78.	lone of: e priority docun e priority docun d copies of the nternational Bu fice action for a a claim for dom s included in the	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not	pplication No received in this National streetived. § 119(e) (to a provisional ation or in an Application l	application)
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a	a specific
reference was included in the	first sentence	of the specification or in an Ap	plication Data Sheet. 37 (	CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s	i)
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT</li> </ul>	•	, —	formal Patent Application (PTO	-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/853,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. In view of Applicants' arguments found in the response filed September 30, 2003, the Examiner hereby withdraws any previous restriction requirements. All the claims have been examined on the merits.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the method" in the first line of claim 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claims 24-26 recite, or incorporate by dependency, the limitation "the at least one magnetic sensor" found in the lines 3-4 of claim 24. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/853,870

Art Unit: 2874

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,215,318 to Schoefthaler et al. (hereinafter "Schoefthaler").

As seen in his Figure 4, Schoefthaler discloses an apparatus, comprising:

- a) a micro machined optical element (100); and
- b) a magnetic sensor (200) disposed on the micro machined optical element.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2003/0117027 to Rybnicek et al. (hereinafter "Rybnicek").

Rybnicek discloses an apparatus, comprising:

- a) a micro machined optical element (320); and
- b) a magnetic sensor (210 and/or 230) disposed on the micro machined optical element.

Art Unit: 2874

See Rybnicek at sections [0085], [0087], and [0110].

### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 2-6 and 8-23 are allowed.

11. Claims 7 and 24-26 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s)

under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

Regarding claim 2, the prior art does not disclose or suggest that the magnetic

sensor senses a magnetic field that is used to actuate the micro machined optical

element.

Regarding claims 3-10, the prior art does not disclose or suggest that the micro

machined optical element includes a moveable portion and at least one magnetic

sensor is disposed on the moveable portion.

Regarding claims 11-23, the prior art does not disclose or suggest that the

magnetic sensor senses a sense magnetic field that is separate from a magnetic field

that actuates the micro machined optical element.

Regarding claims 24-26, it does not appear that it would have been obvious to

provide either Schoefthaler or Rybnicek with a means for compensating for a change in

the property of the magnetic sensor with temperature.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Rojas whose telephone number is (703) 305-8528

Art Unit: 2874

and whose e-mail address is *omar.rojas@uspto.gov*. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00AM-3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hemang Sanghavi, can be reached on (703) 305-3484. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318 for regular communications. The fax phone number for After Final communications is (703) 872-9319. The examiner's personal work fax number is (703) 746-4751.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Omar Rojas Patent Examiner Art Unit 2874

or

December 22, 2003

HEMANG SANGHAVI PRIMARY EXAMINER